# SHORT COMMUNICATION **SINGULARITY CANCELLATION IN BOUNDARY INTEGRAL EQUATIONS FOR AXISYMMETRIC STOKES FLOW**

## **A. KLIBI, A. H. ALEXOPOULOS AND J. M. WIEST**

*School of Chemical Engineering, Purdue University, W. Lufayette, IN 47907, U.S.A.* 

### INTRODUCTION

The boundary element method (BEM) provides a scheme for solving boundary integral equations numerically by dividing the boundary into elements and approximating the unknown variables over these elements by means of shape functions. In recent years this method has developed into a powerful tool for solving engineering problems. One difficulty that arises in solving problems by the boundary element method is the computation of integrals that exist only in the sense of the Cauchy principal value. Singular kernels appear in integrals that are on or near the diagonal of the matrices that result from the discretization of the boundary, and the solution is very sensitive to the accurate calculation of these integrals. For axisymmetric creeping flow problems (i.e., at zero Reynolds numbers<sup>1,2</sup>) the singularities are of order  $1/r$  and logr. Integration through the logarithmic singularity is easily performed by logarithmic Gauss quadrature.

In Cartesian co-ordinates the calculation of the  $1/r$ -singular integrals has been overcome by the use of the rigid body motion method.<sup>3</sup> However, in axisymmetric creeping flow, rigid body motion cannot be imposed in the radial direction. Other modes of motion, analogous to rigid body motion, have been suggested by Sarihan and Mukherjee,<sup>4</sup> Bakr<sup>5</sup> and Rizzo and Shippy.<sup>6</sup> For certain problems the principal value of singular integral can even be evaluated analytically.<sup>7</sup> However, because of the complexity of the kernel functions (combinations of elliptic integrals of the first and second kinds), general analytical integration cannot be performed. Recently, Guiggiani and Cassilini<sup>8</sup> reported the direct calculation of Cauchy principal value integrals using standard and logarithmic Gauss quadrature. Telles<sup>9</sup> used a mapping technique to eliminate the singularity. We will show here that these singularities cancel out directly in axisymmetric BEM by means of algebraic simplification for arbitrary elements and mappings. It is more practical to cancel out the l/r-singularities and obtain non-singular expressions rather than to calculate them individually using other techniques. We begin by presenting the boundary integral equations that describe creeping flow of an incompressible Newtonian fluid. Then we show how these equations 'simplify' for axisymmetric flow. Finally we show how the singularities cancel for any order elements.

# BOUNDARY INTEGRAL EQUATION

Creeping flow of an incompressible Newtonian fluid past a particle with an arbitrary surface  $\Gamma$  is described by

$$
\mu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} = \nabla P, \qquad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0,
$$
 (1)

**u**,  $P \rightarrow 0$  as  $||y|| \rightarrow \infty$ , **u** = **u**<sub>particle</sub> on  $\Gamma$ , (2)

0271 **-2091/92/160491-07%08.50**  *0* **1992** by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. *Received 23* **January** *1991 Revised 11 October 1991* 

# 492 **SHORT COMMUNICATION**

where **u** is the fluid velocity vector,  $P$  is the pressure,  $\mu$  is the viscosity and **y** is the position vector. The fundamental singular solution of the system (equations **(1)** and (2)) for a point force at point *p*  in an unbounded fluid is  $1, 2, 10, 11$ 

$$
u_{ij}(p, q) = -\frac{1}{8\pi\mu r} \left( \delta_{ij} + \frac{\partial r}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial r}{\partial x_j} \right),
$$
 (3)

$$
t_{ij}(p,q) = \frac{3}{4\pi r^2} \frac{\partial r}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial r}{\partial x_i} n_k \frac{\partial r}{\partial x_k},
$$
(4)

where *r* is the distance between points *p* and *q* in the fluid,  $n_k$  is the kth component of the unit vector normal to the surface and  $\delta_{ij}$  is the Kronecker delta. Physically,  $u_{ij}(p, q)$  is the velocity component in the ith direction at point *q* resulting from a unit force in thejth direction at point *p.*  The function  $t_{ii}(p, q)$  describes the traction field associated with  $u_{ii}(p, q)$ . That is,  $t_{ii}(p, q)$  is the *i*th component of the traction at point *p* resulting from a unit force in thejth direction at point *q.*  Note that the fundamental solution is singular when *p* and *q* coincide.

For axisymmetric flows the fundamental solution is derived from the general three-dimensional solution by expressing the latter in a cylindrical co-ordinate system. Then the fundamental solution in cylindrical co-ordinates is integrated in the  $\theta$ -direction around the axis of rotational symmetry. The boundary integral equation becomes

$$
c_{ij}(p)u_j(p) = \int_{\gamma} t_j(q)u_{ij}(p,q)r_q d\gamma - \int_{\gamma} u_j(q) t_{ij}(p,q)r_q d\gamma,
$$
 (5)

where  $\gamma$  is the contour of the radial section of  $\Gamma$ . The coefficients  $c_{ij}(p)$  depend only on the local geometry of the bounding surface  $\Gamma$  at point *p*. For a smooth surface  $c_{ij}(p)$  is equal to  $\frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}$ . The point  $p(r_p, z_p)$  is fixed and  $q(r_q, z_q)$  denotes a boundary point moving along the integration path.

# SINGULARITY CANCELLATION

We now present a procedure by which the first-order singularities in the integrals

$$
I = \int_{\gamma} u_j(q) t_{ij}(p, q) r_q \, \mathrm{d}\gamma \tag{6}
$$

are removed. These integrals arise from the second term on the right-hand side of equation *(5).*  The Green functions are then obtained as functions of  $a, b, \bar{z}$  and  $\bar{r}$ , where

$$
a = r_p^2 + r_q^2 + \bar{z}^2, \qquad b = 2r_p r_q, \tag{7}
$$

$$
\bar{z} = z_q - z_p, \qquad \bar{r} = r_q - r_p. \tag{8}
$$

The Green functions are complicated and are not presented here.<sup>12</sup> The expression for  $t_{ij}$  becomes singular when q approaches p, because  $a - b$  approaches zero. From equations (7) and (8) we have

$$
\frac{1}{a-b} = \frac{1}{\bar{z}^2 + \bar{r}^2} = \frac{1}{r^2},\tag{9}
$$

where *r* is the distance between *p* and *q*. Notice that the singularity can be cancelled out by a factor of the form  $\bar{z}^i \bar{r}^{2-i}$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2$ .

In order to simplify the analytical expression for  $t_{ij}$ , it is convenient to isolate the leading singular term of the  $t_{ij}$ -expressions and treat it separately from the non-singular parts. This can be done by expressing a and b in terms of  $\bar{r}$  and  $\bar{z}$  and rearranging the  $t_{ij}$  to separate out the nonsingular parts where the  $1/(a-b)$ -term has been cancelled by factors of the form  $\bar{z}^i\bar{r}^{2-i}$  with  $i = 0, 1, 2$ . This gives

$$
t_{ij} = t'_{ij} + t''_{ij},\tag{10}
$$

where  $t''_{ij}$  is the non-singular part (given in the Appendix) and  $t'_{ij}$  is the part where  $1/(a - b)$  has not been cancelled. The *tij* are given by

$$
t'_{ij} = \beta A_{ij} S_{ij} (n_r \bar{r} f_{ij}^{(2)} + n_z \bar{z} f_{ij}^{(1)}),
$$
\n(11)

where

$$
\beta = \frac{E}{2\pi r_a (a+b)^{3/2}},\tag{12}
$$

*n*, and *n*, are the components of the outwardly directed unit vector normal to the contour  $\gamma$  and  $E$ is an elliptic integral of the second kind. The expressions for  $A_{ij}$ ,  $S_{ij}$ ,  $f_{ij}^{(1)}$  and  $f_{ij}^{(2)}$  are given in Table I. The components of the unit normal are given by

$$
n_r = \frac{1}{|J|} \frac{dz_q}{dx} = \frac{1}{|J|} \frac{d\bar{z}}{dx}, \qquad n_z = -\frac{1}{|J|} \frac{dr_q}{dx} = -\frac{1}{|J|} \frac{d\bar{r}}{dx},
$$
(13)

where *J* is the Jacobian of transformation from global co-ordinates to local element co-ordinates  $x$ . Substituting equation (13) into equation (11), we obtain

$$
t'_{ij} = \alpha A_{ij} S_{ij} B_{ij},\tag{14}
$$

where

$$
B_{ij} = \bar{r}\frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{z}}{\mathrm{d}x}f_{ij}^{(2)} - \bar{z}\frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{r}}{\mathrm{d}x}f_{ij}^{(1)},\tag{15}
$$

$$
\alpha = \frac{\beta}{|J|}.\tag{16}
$$

These expressions are general and apply for any order of element and mapping. Note that  $|J|$  will be cancelled after the discretization of the radial contour element  $dy$  to local element co-ordinates.

**Table I. Definitions of terms appearing** in **the expressions for the tractions after discretization** 

	rr	2r	rz	zz	$\theta\theta$
$A_{ij}$	$\frac{1}{r_p r_q} (r_p + r_q)^2 (r_p^2 + r_q^2)$	$\frac{1}{r_p}(r_p + r_q)$	$r_p+r_q$		$a+b$ $r_{p}r_{q}$
$S_{ij}$	$\tilde{r}^2$ $\overline{(a-b)^2}$	$\overline{r}$ $\overline{(a-b)^2}$	$\overline{rz}$ $\overline{(a-b)^2}$	$\bar{z}^2$ $\overline{(a-b)^2}$	$\overline{a-b}$
$NS_{ij}$	$\Psi_r^2$ $(\Psi^2 + \Psi^2)^2$	$\Psi_r \Psi_r$ $(\Psi_r^2 + \Psi_z^2)^2$	$\Psi_r \Psi_z$ $(\Psi_r^2 + \Psi_z^2)^2$	$\Psi_z^2$ $(\Psi_r^2 + \Psi_r^2)^2$	$(\Psi_r^2 + \Psi_r^2)$
$f_{ij}^2$	$r_p + r_q$	$2(r_p + r_q)(r_p^2 + r_q^2)$	$4r_q(r_p+r_q)$	$(r_p + r_q)(r_p^2 + 7r_q^2)$	$r_p^2(r_p + r_q)$
$f^1_{ij}$ $\Delta_{ij}$	$2r_q$ $-1$	$r_q(7r_p^2+r_q^2)$ $r_q^2 + 3r_p r_q - 2r_p^2$	$r_p^2 + 7r_q^2$ $r_p - 3r_q$	$8r_q(r_q^2+r_q^2)$ $r_q^2 - 6r_p r_q + r_p^2$	$r_q(r_p^2 + r_q^2)$ $r_q^2 + r_p r_q + r_p^2$

# 494 **SHORT COMMUNICATION**

These results (equations (14) and (15)) are independent of the type of element to be used in the discretization.

We now prove that the singularity cancels out for any element of any order. For a higher-order element the only terms that change in equation (15) are the expressions  $\bar{r} d\bar{z}/dx$  and  $\bar{z} d\bar{r}/dx$ . In general, for  $r_p$  equal to  $r_i$ ,

$$
\bar{r} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \phi_i r_i - r_j = r_1 \phi_1 + \ldots + (\phi_j - 1) r_j + \ldots + r_N \phi_N,
$$
 (17)

where  $\phi_i$  are the basis functions of the element and  $r_i$  are the *r*-co-ordinates of the nodes of the element. Also, because  $\sum_{j=1}^{N} \phi_j = 1$ , we have

$$
\bar{r} = \sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^{N} \phi_i (r_i - r_j).
$$
 (18)

All the shape functions  $\phi_i$  for  $i \neq j$  contain the  $(x - x_i)$ -term, where  $x_i$  is the location of *p*, because they are equal to zero when  $x = x_j$ . Therefore we can express  $\phi_i$  for i not equal to j as the common factor  $x - x_i$  times a remainder  $\psi_i$ :

$$
\phi_i = \psi_i(x - x_j). \tag{19}
$$

Substituting into equation (18), we obtain

1 (18), we obtain  
\n
$$
\bar{r} = \Psi_r(x - x_j), \qquad \frac{d\bar{r}}{dx} = \Psi_r + (x - x_j)\Psi_r', \qquad (20)
$$

where  $\Psi_r = \sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^{N} \psi_i(r_i - r_j)$  and  $\Psi'_r = d\Psi_r/dx$ . Similarly, we obtain

$$
\bar{z} = \Psi_z(x - x_j), \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{z}}{\mathrm{d}x} = \Psi_z + (x - x_j)\Psi_z', \tag{21}
$$

where  $\Psi_z = \sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^{N} \psi_i(z_i - z_j)$ . From equations (20) and (21) we obtain

$$
\bar{z}\frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{r}}{\mathrm{d}x} = (x - x_j)\Psi_z\Psi_r + (x - x_j)^2\Psi_z\Psi_r',\tag{22}
$$

$$
\bar{r}\frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{z}}{\mathrm{d}x} = (x - x_j) \Psi_z \Psi_r + (x - x_j)^2 \Psi_r \Psi'_z.
$$
 (23)

The  $B_{ij}$ -terms from equation (15) become

$$
B_{ij} = (1+x)\Psi_z \Psi_r (f_{ij}^2 - f_{ij}^1) + (1+x)^2 (\Psi_r \Psi'_z f_{ij}^{(2)} - \Psi_z \Psi'_r f_{ij}^{(1)}).
$$
 (24)

The difference  $f_{ij}^{(2)} - f_{ij}^{(1)}$  always has a common factor of  $\bar{r}$ .

$$
f_{ij}^{(2)} - f_{ij}^{(1)} = \bar{r}\Delta_{ij}.
$$
 (25)

Expressions for  $\Delta_{ij}$  are given in Table I; the  $\Delta_{ij}$  are not singular. The common factor  $\bar{r}$  in equation (25) gives the additional factor required to cancel the singularity. Thus the  $B_{ij}$  would give the required  $r^2$  factor that would cancel the  $1/(a-b)$ -singularity in  $S_{ij}$ .

We have proven that the 1/r-singularities always cancel out for any order of element. The final non-singular expression for any order of element can be written as

$$
t'_{ij} = \alpha A_{ij} N S_{ij} C_{ij},
$$
\n(26)

#### **SHORT COMMUNICATION** 495

where

$$
C_{ij} = \Psi_r^2 \Psi_z \Delta_{ij} + \Psi_r \Psi_z' f_{ij}^{(2)} - \Psi_r' \Psi_z f_{ij}^{(1)}
$$
(27)

and the  $NS_{ij}$ -expressions are given in Table I. These are non-singular expressions and can be integrated with ease by standard Gauss quadrature.

We have assumed that the mapping is linear. Linear mappings are used predominantly in practice, but higher-order mappings can also be used for curved boundaries. The derivations presented in this communication are the same even for higher-order mappings. The only differences are in the expressions for  $\Psi$ , and  $\Psi$ <sub>z</sub>.

In this communication we have examined the singularities in the boundary element method for axisymmetric creeping flow. We have shown that the  $1/r$ -singularity in the integrals involving  $t_{ij}$ can be cancelled. The resulting expressions are non-singular and nothing more than standard Gauss quadrature is necessary for their integration.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, the Dow Corning Corporation and Du Pont. In addition they appreciate helpful discussions with Professors R. J. Bernhard and E. I. Franses.

#### **APPENDIX**

The non-singular parts  $t''_{ij}$  of the Green tractions are given by

$$
t''_r = (f_1 n_r + g_1 n_z) K + (f_2 n_r + g_2 n_z) E,
$$
  
\n
$$
t''_{zr} = (f_2 n_r + g_2 n_z) K + (f_3 n_r + g_3 n_z) E,
$$
  
\n
$$
t''_{rz} = (f_4 n_r + g_4 n_z) K + (f_5 n_r + g_5 n_z) E,
$$
  
\n
$$
t''_{zz} = (f_5 n_r + g_5 n_z) K + (f_6 n_r + g_6 n_z) E,
$$
  
\n
$$
t''_{\theta\theta} = (f_7 n_r + g_7 n_z) K + (f_8 n_r + g_8 n_z) E,
$$

where K and E are elliptic integrals of the first and second kinds respectively and  $f_i$  and  $g_i$  are as follows:

$$
f_{1} = \frac{1}{r_{q}^{2}} \alpha_{1} \left[ \frac{1}{r_{p}} \bar{z}^{4} \gamma_{z} + \left( \frac{2r_{q}^{2}}{r_{p}} + \frac{5}{2} r_{p} \right) \bar{z}^{2} \gamma_{z} + \left( \frac{r_{q}^{4}}{2r_{p}} - \frac{5}{2} r_{p} r_{q}^{2} + 2r_{p}^{3} \right) \gamma_{z} \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
- \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{r_{q}}{r_{p}} - 1 \right) (r_{q} + r_{p})^{3} \gamma_{r} \left],
$$
  
\n
$$
f_{2} = \frac{\alpha_{1}}{r_{q}} \left[ -\frac{1}{2r_{p}} \bar{z}^{3} \gamma_{z} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{r_{q}^{2}}{r_{p}} + r_{p} \right) \bar{z} \gamma_{z} - \frac{1}{r_{p}} (r_{p} + r_{q})^{2} \gamma_{r} \bar{z} \right],
$$
  
\n
$$
f_{3} = \alpha_{1} (2r_{p}^{2} - a) \frac{\gamma_{z}}{2r_{p}},
$$
  
\n
$$
f_{4} = \frac{\alpha_{1}}{4r_{q}^{2}} [2 \bar{z}^{3} \gamma_{z} + (8r_{q}^{2} + 4r_{p}^{2}) \bar{z} \gamma_{z} + 2(r_{q} + r_{p})^{2} \bar{z} \gamma_{r}],
$$

$$
f_{5} = \frac{\alpha_{1}}{2r_{q}}(a - 2r_{q}^{2})\gamma_{z},
$$
\n
$$
f_{6} = -\alpha_{1}\bar{z}\gamma_{z},
$$
\n
$$
f_{7} = \frac{-\alpha_{1}(a+b)^{3}}{r_{p}r_{q}^{2}}(r_{q}^{2} - 2a),
$$
\n
$$
f_{8} = \frac{\alpha_{1}(a+b)}{2r_{p}r_{q}}\bar{z},
$$
\n
$$
g_{1} = \frac{\alpha_{1}}{r_{q}^{2}}\left[-\bar{z}^{4}\gamma_{z}^{2}\frac{1}{r_{p}} - \left(3\frac{r_{q}^{2}}{r_{p}} + \frac{7}{2}r_{p}\right)\bar{z}^{2}\gamma_{z}^{2} - \frac{5}{2}r_{p}(r_{p} + r_{q})^{2}\gamma_{r}\gamma_{z}\right.\n+ \left(-\frac{5r_{q}^{4}}{2r_{p}} + r_{p}r_{q}^{2} - \frac{9}{2}r_{p}^{3}\right)\gamma_{z}^{2}\right],
$$
\n
$$
g_{2} = \frac{\alpha_{1}}{r_{q}}\left(\bar{z}^{3}\gamma_{z}^{2}\frac{1}{2r_{p}} + \frac{2}{r_{p}}(r_{q}^{2} + r_{p}^{2})\bar{z}\gamma_{z}^{2} + (r_{p} + r_{q})^{2}\frac{5}{2r_{p}}\gamma_{z}\gamma_{r}\bar{z}\right),
$$
\n
$$
g_{3} = \frac{\alpha_{1}}{r_{q}}\left[\frac{r_{q}}{2r_{p}}\bar{z}^{2}\gamma_{z}^{2} + \left(\frac{r_{q}^{3}}{r_{p}} - 3r_{p}r_{q}\right)\gamma_{z}^{2}\right],
$$
\n
$$
g_{4} = \frac{\alpha_{1}}{2r_{q}^{2}}\left(-\bar{z}^{3}\gamma_{z}^{2} - (5r_{q}^{2} + 3r_{q}^{2})\bar{z}\gamma_{z}^{2} + (r_{q} + r_{p})(r_{q}^{2} + 3r_{p}^{2})\gamma_{z}(\gamma_{r}\gamma_{z})^{1/2}\right),
$$
\n
$$
g_{5} = \frac{\alpha_{1}}{2r_{q}}\left[-\bar{z}^{2}\gamma_{z}^{2} +
$$

**with** 

$$
\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{\pi (a+b)^{3/2}}, \qquad \gamma_r = \frac{\Psi_r^2}{\Psi_r^2 + \Psi_z^2}, \qquad \gamma_z = \frac{\Psi_z^2}{\Psi_r^2 + \Psi_z^2}.
$$

#### REFERENCES

- **1. U. A.** Ladyzhenkaya, *The Mathematical Theory of Viscous Incompressible Flow,* Gordon and Breach, New York, **1963.**
- **2.** S. Kim and S. Karrila, *An Introduction to Microhydrodynamics,* Butterworths, London, **1990.**
- **3.** F. **J.** Rizzo and **D.** J. Shippy, 'An advanced boundary integral equation method for three-dimensional thermoelasticity', *Int. j. numer. methods eng.,* **11, 1753-1768 (1977).**
- **4. V.** Sarihan and S. Mukherjee, 'Axisymmetric viscoplastic deformation by the boundary element method', *Int. J. Solids Struct.,* **18, 1113-1128 (1982).**
- **5.** A. A. Bakr, *The Boundary Integral Equation Method in Axisymmetric Stress Analysis Problems,* Springer, Berlin/New York, **1986.**
- **6. F. J.** Rizzo and **D.** J. Shippy, **'A** boundary element method for axisymmetric elastic bodies', in P. K. Banerjee and J. 0. Watson (eds), *Deoelopment in Boundary Element Method-4,* Elsevier Applied Science, Barking, **1986,** pp. **67-90.**
- **7.** C. Katz, 'Analytic integration of isoparametric **2D** boundary elements', in C. A. Brebbia and G. Maier (eds), *Boundary Element Method VII,* Elsevier Applied Science, Barking, **1985,** pp. **115-130.**

- **8.** M. Guiggiani and P. Casalini, 'Direct computation of Cauchy principal value integrals in advanced boundary elements', Int. j. *numer. methods eng., 24,* **1711-1720 (1987).**
- **9.** J. C. F. Telles, 'A self-adaptive coordinate transformation for efficient numerical evaluation of general boundary element integrals', *Int.* j. *numer. methods eng., 24,* **1959 (1987).**
- **10.** G. Yougren and A. Acrivos, 'A Stokes flow past a particle of arbitrary shape: a numerical method of solution', J. *Fluid Mech., 69,* **377-403 (1975).**
- **11.** M. B. Bush and R. I. Tanner, 'Numerical solution of viscous flows using the integral equation methods', Int. j. numer. *methodsjuids,* **3, 71-92 (1983).**
- 12. G. Graziani, 'A boundary integral equation method for axisymmetric viscous flows', *Int. J. Eng. Sci.,* **27, 855-864 (1989).**